

### **MALSR LED SIR Questions/Answers**

1. Section B2 – Part I CLIN 1005 is identical to CLIN 1005b. Was CLIN 1005 intended to be a roll-up summary CLIN of 1005a and 1005b or should the CLIN Price be identical for CLIN 1005 and CLIN 1005b?

**Answer: Please see Section C.3.2.5, referencing PRD sections 3.1.2.6 and 3.2.2.6. The contractor shall designate which CLIN represents the low wave length band and the high wave length band.**

2. Section B2 – Part I CLIN 1006 is identical to CLIN 1006b. Was CLIN 1006 intended to be a roll-up summary CLIN of 1005a and 1005b or should the CLIN Price be identical for CLIN 1006 and CLIN 1006b?

**Answer: Please see Section C.3.2.5, referencing PRD sections 3.1.2.6 and 3.2.2.6. The contractor shall designate which CLIN represents the low wave length band and the high wave length band.**

3. Section B3 – Part I CLIN 2001 is identical to CLIN 2001b. Was CLIN 2001 intended to be a roll-up summary CLIN of 2001a and 2001b or should the CLIN Price be identical for CLIN 2001 and CLIN 2001b?

**Answer: Please see Section C.3.2.5, referencing PRD sections 3.1.2.6 and 3.2.2.6. The contractor shall designate which CLIN represents the low wave length band and the high wave length band.**

4. Section B3 – Part I CLIN 2002 is identical to CLIN 2002b. Was CLIN 2002 intended to be a roll-up summary CLIN of 2002a and 2002b or should the CLIN Price be identical for CLIN 2002 and CLIN 2002b?

**Answer: Please see Section C.3.2.5, referencing PRD sections 3.1.2.6 and 3.2.2.6. The contractor shall designate which CLIN represents the low wave length band and the high wave length band.**

5. Please clarify the requirements for a "Letter of System Adequacy" as specified in Section L, Para. L.17.3.2. Can you please clarify how this relates to a feasibility study?

**Answer: An amendment has been made to this SIR, deleting Section L.17.3.2. This is not a requirement.**